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## Views of Warsaw students on LGBT+. <br> Conclusions from the study

The study was conducted in early 2020, just before the outbreak the COVID-19 pandemic in Poland. It was of a pilot nature. Delay related to the preparation of the report was due to communication difficulties and diseases of the members of the research team. The survey was conducted among students of Warsaw universities, both private and public. 248 university students took part in it from various fields of study. The research sample was not representative. The study was undertaken during increased attacks on non-heteronormative persons in Poland. The essential of this the premise was the desire to know the views of the future Polish intelligentsia in the face of growing manifestations of intolerance towards LGBT+ people in the country. The subject of the study were the views of Warsaw students, both who live in Warsaw, as well as those who study there. The authors of this pilot study set the following goals: - preliminary assessment of the correctness of the obtained results, checking the usefulness of the previously prepared survey questionnaire (see Attachment). The main research problem posed by the authors of the study is contained in question: 'What views do Warsaw students have on LGBT+ over time?

Manifestations of intolerance towards this social category at the end of the second decade of the 21st century age in Poland'. Detailed research problems were contained in the questions about the knowledge of students about LGBT+ and the attitude of the respondents to this social category concerning the different aspects of social life. The main research hypothesis assumed that the surveyed students had a positive attitude to LGBT+, but their knowledge of it leaves much to be desired. The survey method was used to implement the above research assumptions diagnostic and survey technique. Survey questionnaires contains 22 substantive questions and the same number of identification questions, characterizing the studied students.

```
***
```

The surveyed students constituted a very diverse group. Its characteristics covered 22 features (socio-demographic and socio-professional). Despite its unrepresentativeness, they quite accurately characterize the studied group of Warsaw students (studying in Warsaw or living and studying in it). The socio-demographic characteristics of the surveyed students include: gender, age, marital status, country and place of origin, its size, time of residence in Warsaw or the Warsaw agglomeration, place of residence during studies, religion, degree of religious activity, political sympathies and orientation sexual. The majority of the surveyed students were women ( $60.9 \%$ ). A different sex than the female 1 person ( $0.4 \%$ of respondents) declared. The majority of the surveyed students were older students. Students aged 25 years and more accounted for $51.0 \%$. The youngest students (under 20) accounted for $6.8 \%$ of the respondents. The remaining group (aged 20-24) accounted for $38 \% .65 .3 \%$ of the students were unmarried, compared to $31.0 \%$ of
married women. Most of the surveyed students were Poles (88.7\%). Ukrainians and Russians together constituted only $2.0 \%$ of the respondents. Most of the students lived in cities ( $60.5 \%$ ), compared to $31.0 \%$ of rural youth. $31.9 \%$ of students lived in large cities (over 500 thousand inhabitants), $29.4 \%$ lived in small cities (up to 20 thousand inhabitants), and in medium-sized cities (20-100 thousand inhabitants) $15.3 \%$, while in large cities (100-500 thousand) - $8.8 \%$. A significant feature of the surveyed students was the time of their residence and study in Warsaw or the Warsaw agglomeration. 35.9\% of the surveyed students have lived in Warsaw since birth, $21.0 \%$ more than 5 years, $20.2 \%$ from one to three years, $6.9 \%$ less than a year. We can say that over $1 / 3$ of the surveyed students are native Warsaw residents, over $1 / 5$ are migrants who settled in the capital before starting their studies, and over a quarter of the respondents are students who came to Warsaw to study. $54.0 \%$ of the surveyed students lived in Warsaw during their studies, $21.8 \%$ in the vicinity of Warsaw (in Warsaw agglomeration). Together they accounted for over of the respondents ( $75.8 \%$ ). Interesting information were the students' answers about their religion or belief. Christians (76.9\%) dominated (over $3 / 4$ of respondents), among whom Catholics ( $69.3 \%$ ) dominated. Atheists constituted $12.9 \%$ of the respondents, and agnostics $5.6 \%$. The religious activity of the surveyed students was low. Only $14.5 \%$ of students were religious and systematically practicing, and there were slightly less non-believers and non-practitioners (13.7\%). Others rarely practiced or not practiced ( $65.0 \%$ ). As many as $57.3 \%$ of the surveyed students did not like any political orientation or showed indifference to politics. Left-wing, right-wing, centrist or other political views in $34.2 \%$ of respondents were sentenced. In this study, sexual orientation was a very important feature of the studied students. Heterosexuality was declared by $84.7 \%$ of the respondents, homosexuality was $4.4 \%$, and a different
answer was given by $3.2 \%$ of the surveyed students. The following were distinguished among the socio-professional characteristics of the surveyed students: field, degree, mode and year of study, use of scholarships (scientific and social), monthly family income, parents and guardians bringing up students and their education, or life satisfaction. The examined students studied in various fields of study, which belonged to the main fields of science. Most students studied social sciences ( $49.2 \%$ ) and humanities ( $19.8 \%$ ). $20.6 \%$ of students studied in the field of applied sciences (medical, engineering and technical). Most of the students studied at undergraduate ( $77.4 \%$ ) and graduate ( $17.5 \%$ ) studies. Only $17.7 \%$ of the respondents studied in full-time studies, while $81.9 \%$ in part-time studies. Most of the students studied during the first year of studies ( $72.2 \%$ ). Most of the surveyed students did not receive an academic ( $87.5 \%$ ) and social ( $86.3 \%$ ) scholarship. The income of the surveyed students in the majority (52.8\%) was below the national average (between PLN 2.5 thousand and PLN 5.0 thousand per person in a family). $20.2 \%$ had incomes higher than PLN 5,000. PLN per person in the family, and $19.8 \%$ less than 2.5 thousand. per person. The surveyed students were mostly brought up by both parents $(73.0 \%$ ), but only by their mother $-17.7 \%$, and by other persons $-2.8 \%$. Most of the parents of the surveyed students had secondary education (mothers $51.2 \%$, fathers $50.4 \%$ ). $43.3 \%$ of parents and guardians had higher education. Satisfaction with life was declared by $83.5 \%$ of the surveyed students. It should be assumed that the main source influencing this state was the possibility of studying, in particular studying in Warsaw.

Currently - after the political transformation - new components of the social structure have crystallized, including, for example, the environment of LGBT+ people, which, contrary to some opinions, exists in real and not only ideological terms. More importantly, it is very diverse in different aspects, be it social or cultural. Hence the need for research, both for LGBT+ people and the rest of society. This study is an example of this approach. The dominant source of information about LGBT+ among the surveyed students was primarily various mass media: the Internet ( $71.4 \%$ of responses), television ( $63.3 \%$ of responses), the press ( $25.8 \%$ of responses) and radio ( $23.8 \%$ of responses) and only in much lower percentages of the community: family, school and university. This raises the rhetorical question of whether it is appropriate or beneficial to educate and learn about such a socially important and important issue for the social and cultural situation in Poland as LGBT+ with regard to "soft", i.e. media sources of information, and not, for example, from proven academic knowledge? The problem is that many of these sources are often uncertain, information and knowledge unproven and burdened with ideological or religious rhetoric and ideologically oriented interpretation. Knowledge about them is very important in the study of LGBT+ people. In the present study, only two answers in the proposed set of opinions were basically correct, namely: they are people with one of the existing sexual orientations ( $43.5 \%$ of responses) and people who contribute a lot to contemporary culture ( $24.2 \%$ of responses). However, the dominant and surprisingly large percentage of the surveyed students opted for xenophobic and stereotypical opinions, such as: these are people who form same-sex relationships (as much as $60.9 \%$ of responses). This indicates that the opinion of these $60.9 \%$ of the respondents is their traditional and conservative knowledge, but a wrong knowledge in this regard. Among the obtained answers, the overall surprise is the low
percentage of students who have correct knowledge when asked what the LGBT+ abbreviation means, as many as $30.6 \%$ of students answered that they did not know what it meant. Nevertheless, the above observation does not give grounds for ignoring a large percentage of correct answers. And so, more than half of the respondents correctly answered the first three letters of the abbreviation: 54.0\% of the respondents indicated that " L " are lesbians, $53.2 \%$ indicated that " G " is gays, $51.0 \%$ indicated that " B "are bisexuals, but only $31 \%$ indicated the abbreviation" T "(transsexuals). The " + " sign (other sexual orientations) was correctly indicated by only $7.7 \%$ of the surveyed students. Only $31.5 \%$ of respondents see LGBT+ as normal people and $19.8 \%$ as open and tolerant people. This gives a total of only $51.3 \%$ of positive assessments of people from the LGBT+ community. This "positivity" of assessments concerns the awareness and views of only slightly more than half of the surveyed students. This may mean that the socialization of the rest of the studied academic community was based on traditional, conservative and religious values.

This is indicated by data such as the fact that for $9.3 \%$ of the surveyed students people from the LGBT+ environment are people with gender aberration, for $4.8 \%$ they are sick, and for $4.8 \%$ it is the so-called rainbow plague. So, almost every fifth surveyed student ( $18.9 \%$ of responses) has a distorted view of the image of LGBT+. If this percentage of indications is supplemented by the opinions of the rest of the respondents (unspecified, incorrect or lack of views), the scale of young Poles' confusion in this matter is surprisingly large. The responses to the question whether the respondent agrees with different opinions about LGBT+ correspond in the level of ratings with the structure of negative opinions in other cross-sections of ratings about LGBT+. It turns out that there is a total of $72.0 \%$ of positive opinions to the
above question (this is the sum of the following answers: these are people I do not care about ( $25.4 \%$ of responses), are people like me ( $24.6 \%$ indications), these are the people I try to understand ( $21.0 \%$ of responses) and people I like ( $1.0 \%$ of responses). The associations of the respondents about LGBT+ behaviors, assessed on a five-point scale, showed their great diversity and inconsistency in assessments. It seems that the typical, common social opinions clearly revealed themselves in these assessments to a large extent. For example, what draws attention is the mass conviction of the surveyed students about the homosexuality of LGBT+ people. Such behavior was indicated by as many as $72.1 \%$ of respondents (this is the sum of the answers - definitely yes and rather yes). However, there is a high percentage of positive associations with LGBT+ behaviors, including: in the assessment of different behavior (51.6\% indications), self-care (56.9\% indications), creative activity ( $46.4 \%$ indications), or specific style of dress ( $52.2 \%$ of responses). This inconsistency in assessing various LGBT+ behaviors is particularly visible in the assessment of a number of other, negative LGBT+ behaviors, proposed in the answers to the question, which the respondents probably have never experienced personally in their lives, e.g. pedophilic behavior $-7.2 \%$, pathological behavior -6 , $6 \%$, or HIV $-11.2 \%$. These stereotypical and xenophobic assessments of the LGBT+ community (in a relatively small percentage) are probably not the result of education, but rather associations brought from their living environments. As many as $73.8 \%$ of the surveyed students postulated that Polish society should treat people from this milieu as every human being, and also with approval $-2.4 \%$ of responses. In total, this is a postulate of as many as $76.2 \%$ of the respondents. This shows a great deal of faith and clear expectations towards society in this regard. However, it is interesting that the postulates to treat them as sick ( $5.2 \%$ of responses) and that they should be
condemned ( $3.6 \%$ of responses) were provided by relatively few respondents (only $8.8 \%$ in total). This is a category of respondents whose views clearly correspond to the views and opinions of extremely conservative and ecclesiastical circles. The surveyed students found it difficult to unequivocally define their attitude towards their colleagues towards LGBT+. This is indicated by the result of the responses that this ratio is indifferent ( $36.7 \%$ of responses), but also that it is difficult for them to say what it really is ( $18.1 \%$ of responses). There are as many as $54.8 \%$ of such respondents. Such a result indicates a certain probability that the respondents had a poor understanding of the attitudes towards LGBT+ in their environment. Acceptance of the organized Parades and Equality Marches shows a great understanding of LGBT+ issues. They consist of accepting the statement that they are a protest against their discrimination ( $52.4 \%$ of responses), but also that they are a factor in creating identity and community ( $30.2 \%$ of responses), or that they educate the society ( $20.6 \%$ of responses). For some respondents, the Parade and the Equality Marches are only conflicts of society ( $33.1 \%$ of responses), promotion of homosexuality ( $17.3 \%$ of responses), and even a threat to the family as a social institution ( $12.5 \%$ of responses). Despite the political and ideological campaigns in the government's public media and LGBT+ communicators, but also in religious publications, almost half of the surveyed students ( $44.8 \%$ of responses) were clearly against the creation of "LGBT-free zones". The results also show that a large proportion of the surveyed students (21.0\% of responses) showed a neutral attitude to the creation of such zones, which can be carefully interpreted as their negative attitude to the creation of these zones. It can therefore be concluded that in total as many as $65.8 \%$ of the surveyed students do not support these zones, and only $11.3 \%$ of the surveyed students support these zones. $26.6 \%$ of the surveyed students,
when asked what they think the term "LGBT ideology" means, answered: first, that they are not interested in this issue. In addition, $19.4 \%$ of respondents said that they knew nothing about it. In total, this gives as much as $46.0 \%$ of the respondents. Secondly, they pointed out that this term was coined by right-wing circles for the purposes of political struggle ( $24.6 \%$ of responses), it was a term coined by left-wing circles ( $7.3 \%$ of responses), it was a term created by religious circles ( $13.3 \%$ of responses).

Additionally $22.6 \%$ of the respondents directly believe that there is no such ideology. In total, it means for $67.8 \%$ of the surveyed students that this term was artificially created for the purposes of political struggle, and that, in fact, there is no such ideology. Thirdly, $10.9 \%$ of the surveyed students believe that the LGBT ideology is a term that realistically corresponds to facts related to social reality. As can be seen, the most orthodox position on this issue is represented by a relatively small percentage of the surveyed students. $51.7 \%$ of the surveyed students accept partnerships. Moreover, for $23.4 \%$ of respondents they are indifferent to this social problem, and $3.2 \%$ of respondents have no opinion on this matter. Thus, as many as $78.3 \%$ of the surveyed students, directly or indirectly, accept this new social custom. As many as $53.7 \%$ of the surveyed students support the legal regulation of civil unions. In addition, $13.7 \%$ of the surveyed students are neutral in this respect, because they have no opinion on this subject. Thus, it seems that a total of about $2 / 3$ of the surveyed students accept the social expectations of the legal regulation of this new family model. As many as $65.3 \%$ of the surveyed students have a positive attitude towards the model of gay marriage. If we confront this result with the answers regarding support for civil unions (78.3\%), then the scale of this acceptance at the level of $2 / 3$ of the surveyed population is a testimony to the progressive
process of liberal thinking in this matter by the surveyed students. However, $30.2 \%$ of the respondents did not accept this type of marriage. $50.0 \%$ of the surveyed students supported the possibility of concluding homosexual marriages. These are those students who accept or opt for the legal solution contained in the question, but in such a way that the statutory regulation is beneficial for LGBT+. If we add to this result $16.5 \%$ of those confused respondents who indicated the answer difficult to say, this gives a total of $66.5 \%$ of respondents who support such a solution in this matter. If we compare this percentage of $66.5 \%$ with the result of $65.3 \%$ of the answer to the question, which concerned accepting only homosexual marriages, we will get basically the same result. Among the respondents, the dominant option indicates a far-reaching reluctance to adopt children by homosexual persons. It turns out that the opinions of the surveyed students on this subject are clearly polarized. As many as $53.3 \%$ of the respondents indicated that they were against such adoption of children. On the other hand, only $28.2 \%$ of respondents were in favor of adopting children by homosexuals. As many as $72.0 \%$ of the surveyed students accepted the thesis that LGBT+ people can participate in religious life. The scale of this belief is evidenced by the fact that only $14.5 \%$ of respondents refused LGBT+ the right to participate in religious life, of which only $5.6 \%$ indicated the answer 'Definitely no'. The high percentage of $72 \%$ of students who accepted such a solution proves that in this respect they showed their own common-sense belief, not burdened with traditional thinking and conservatism. $64.9 \%$ of respondents have no doubts that LGBT+ people are good citizens of the country. Only a trace percentage of the respondents ( $6.4 \%$ of responses) refused them patriotism, of which only $2.8 \%$ definitely say that they are not good citizens of the country. However, the scale of the students surveyed hesitating on this issue is wondering. As many as $23.8 \%$ of the surveyed students
indicated the answer, it is difficult to say whether LGBT+ citizens are good citizens. As many as $71.8 \%$ of the surveyed students know someone from the LGBT+ community. As you can see, for the surveyed LGBT+ students, they are not anonymous. The questionnaire did not directly ask about the level of this knowledge. The term I know does not define the degree of this knowledge, it is limited only to a generally defined knowledge of the type: I only know that someone is LGBT+. The results of the survey indicate that as many as $72.3 \%$ of the respondents answered that they accept LGBT+ people whom they know, only $9.7 \%$ of the respondents did not express this acceptance, including that definitely not only $3.2 \%$. In fact, it means almost universal acceptance of people from this milieu by young Poles. Such an attitude of the respondents may be a good omen for social relations in this regard in the near future. It seems that the young generation has become accustomed to LGBT+ issues, that they understand their problems, and that they accept biological or cultural LGBT+ differences. The survey results show the surveyed students as a relatively diverse environment. For example, to various questions in the questionnaire, the responses of around a third of respondents were characterized by a relatively consistent syndrome of conservative attitudes towards specific LGBT+ issues. However, the dominant percentage of the surveyed students is characterized by a rather liberal social attitude. Their answers to questions of a general nature, such as whether LGBT+ are good citizens, are marked by a great deal of understanding of this environment. In many cases the scale of the answers was surprising, it is difficult to say what indicated confusionthe studied community and the lack of knowledge about important problems of the LGBT + community.

The results of the pilot study were based on overall responses and not on responses from inter-question correlation. This, of course, significantly impairs the possibilities
of interpretation. This means that the presented study does not provide information in the correlation system, including the richness of information obtained on LGBT+. We know what the respondents think about individual issues, but we do not know which categories of respondents think one way or another. It is obvious that the information obtained from correlation analyzes will significantly expand the knowledge on individual LGBT+ issues. Correlation results with variables concerning e.g. the political attitude of the respondents, the scale of their religiosity, environmental origin or sexual orientation may turn out to be particularly important. This study and the results obtained are based on a population of answers from 248 surveyed students. As it was said in the introduction, the surveyed students did not constitute a representative student community. However, their opinions reflect, to put it only very conventionally, the views of a typical student community in Poland. The value of these results, however, is that they represent one of the few studies on LGBT+ to date. Certainly, they can be treated as a pilot for a wider study of this environment. It should be emphasized, however, that the results contained in this study cannot constitute the basis for their extrapolation to the wider community of students in Poland. The main research hypothesis has been confirmed. Most of the surveyed students have a positive view of LGBT+. Unfortunately, their knowledge of this environment is unsatisfactory. The analysis of this study showed the usefulness of the questionnaire prepared for this purpose, which is attached to the presented study (both in Polish and in English). This is fully confirmed by the results of the study.

## QUESTIONNAIRE

## Student youth attitudes towards LGBT+

## (Attachment)

## Dear Students!

We would like to ask you to complete this questionnaire. It will be used to examine your views concerning LGBT+. The role of this social category and its perception in society, as well as its conditions are currently important aspects of our social life.

The questionnaire is anonymous. Thank you for participating in this study.

## Research team

1. How (from what source) did you learn about LGBT+?
(you can select multiple answers)
2. during secondary education
3. from Academic lectures
4. from the Internet
5. from television
6. from the radio
7. from the press
8. from friends
9. from cousins and guardians
10. from the neighborhood
11. from my religious community
12. from other sources (describe them) $\qquad$
13. I am not familiar with the term
14. What do you know about LGBT+? (you can select multiple answers)
15. they introduce significant value to our modern culture
16. they represent one of the existing sexual orientations
17. they have numerous sexual partners
18. they form homosexual relationships
19. they are homosexuals
20. other answer (specify) $\qquad$
21. What does the abbreviation LGBT+ mean, according to you?
22. L stands for $\qquad$
23. G stands for $\qquad$
24. B stands for $\qquad$
25. T stands for $\qquad$
26.     + stands for $\qquad$
27. I don't know
28. What do you think about LGBT + ? (select one answer)
29. they are normal people
30. they are open and tolerant
31. they are suffering from gender dysphoria
32. they are sick
33. they are a "rainbow plague"
34. I have a different opinion (specify) $\qquad$
35. Are you convinced that LGBT+ are:
(mark one " $x$ " in each row)

|  | Opinions concerning LGBT+ | Definitely yes | Rather <br> yes | Rather <br> no | Definit ely no | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Difficult } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \text { say } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | they are normal people |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. | they are open and tolerant |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. | they are suffering from gender dysphoria |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. | they are sick |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. | they are a "rainbow plague" |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. | I have a different opinion (specify) $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |

6. Which of the following statements do you agree with? LGBT+ are:(select one answer):
7. people just like me
8. people I try to understand
9. people I like
10. people I am indifferent towards
11. people I do not accept
12. people I am negative towards
13. I have a different attitude towards them (specify) $\qquad$
14. What social behavior do you associate LGBT+ with? (mark one " $x$ " in each row)

| No. | Associations of <br> respondents with LGBT+ <br> behavior | Definitely <br> yes | Rather yes | Rather no | Definitely <br> no | Difficult <br> to say. |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | difference in behavior <br> (general) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | self-care |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | artistic creativity |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | queerfasion |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | provocative behavior |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | sexual promiscuity |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | pathological behavior |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | homosexuality |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | pedophilic behavior |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | HIV |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | something else: <br> (specify) |  |  |  |  |  |

8. How do you think society should address LGBT+? (select one answer)
9. with approval
10. like everybody else
11. like people in need of help
12. like social outcasts
13. like sick people
14. they should be condemned
15. they should be addressed differently (specify) $\qquad$
16. How do you assess the attitude of student youth (your colleagues) towards LGBT+? It is:(select one answer)
17. definitely positive
18. rather positive
19. indifferent
20. rather negative
21. definitely negative
22. it is difficult to say
23. What do you think about the LGBT+ Parade and Equality Marches? (you can select multiple answers)
24. they educate society
25. they are a factor in creating identity and community
26. they are a protest against their discrimination
27. they create conflict within society
28. they are a manifestation of various sexual activities
29. they are promoting homosexuality
30. they threaten the family as a social institution
31. I have a different opinion (specify) $\qquad$
$\qquad$
32. Do you think that the creation of "LGBT-free zones" by local authorities is (select one answer):
33. rightful, I support it
34. I am indifferent towards it
35. wrong, I object to it
36. I do not have an opinion on this subject
12.What do you think the term "LGBT ideology" means? (you can select multiple answers)
37. I think that there is no such ideology
38. it's a term made up by right-wingers for political reasons
39. it's a term created by religious communities
40. it's a term created by left-wingers
41. it's a factual term related to societal reality
42. I am not interested in this issue
43. I don't know
44. Do you accept same-sex civil partnerships? (select one answer)
45. definitely yes
46. rather yes
47. I am indifferent towards them
48. rather no
49. definitely no
50. I do not have an opinion on this subject
51. Do you think such relationships should be legally regulated? (select one answer)
52. definitely yes
53. rather yes
54. rather no
55. definitely no
56. I do not have an opinion on this subject
57. Do you accept same-sex marriages? (select one answer)
58. definitely yes
59. rather yes
60. rather no
61. definitely no
62. I do not have an opinion on this subject
63. In your opinion, should the possibility of same-sex marriages be regulated by law in favor of LGBT+? (select one answer)
64. definitely yes
65. rather yes
66. I am indifferent towards it
67. rather no
68. definitely no
69. it is difficult to say
70. Are you in favor of adopting children by homosexuals? (select one answer)
71. definitely yes
72. rather yes
73. I am indifferent towards it
74. rather no
75. definitely no
76. it is difficult to say
77. Do you thing that LGBT+ people can make good parents? (select one answer)
78. definitely yes
79. rather yes
80. rather no
81. definitely no
82. it is difficult to say
83. Do you think that LGBT+ can participate in religious life? (select one answer)
84. definitely yes
85. rather yes
86. rather no
87. definitely no
88. I have a different opinion (specify) $\qquad$
$\qquad$
89. Do you thing that LGBT+ people are good citizens? (select one answer)
90. definitely yes
91. rather yes
92. rather no
93. definitely no
94. it is difficult to say
95. Do you know anybody from LGBT+ community? (select one answer)
96. yes, one person
97. yes, several people
98. no, I don't
99. If you do know such people, do you accept them? (select one answer)
100. definitely yes
101. rather yes
102. rather no
103. definitely no

## YOUR DATA

(Please supply information about yourself by writing " $x$ " in the appropriate place)
23. What is your gender?

1. female
2. male
3. other answer (specify)
4. What is your age? (in years)
5. 18 and younger
6. 19
7. 20
8. 21
9. 22
10. 23
11. 24
12. 25 and older

25 What is your marital status?

1. single
2. married

26 Which area/field of study does your course of study belong to?

1. humanities
2. social sciences
3. sciences and natural sciences
4. engineering and technical sciences
5. medical and health sciences
6. agricultural sciences
7. theological sciences
8. What is your degree of study (current)?
9. Bachelor studies
10. Master's studies
11. uniform Master's studies
12. PhD

28 What is your study schedule?

1. full-time programme
2. part-time programme

29 What year of study are you currently at?

1. I
2. II
3. III
4. IV
5. V
6. VI

30 What is your country of origin?
31. What is your place of origin?

1. city
2. village

32 How many inhabitants does your place of origin have?

1. up to 20 thousand
2. between 20 and 100 thousand
3. between 100 and 300 thousand
4. between 300 and 500 thousand
5. above 5000 thousand
6. does not apply
7. Where are you staying during your studies?
8. in Warsaw
9. outskirts of Warsaw (Warsaw metropolitan area)
10. place of origin
11. other place (specify) $\qquad$
12. How long have you been living in Warsaw or Warsaw metropolitan area?
13. less than a year
14. between one and two years
15. between three and four years
16. between three and five years
17. more than five years
18. since I was born

7 I don't live in Warsaw or Warsaw metropolitan area?
35. Are you receiving scholarship?

1. yes
2. no
3. Are you receiving grants?
4. yes
5. no
6. What is the approximate monthly income of your family (or yours if you are living alone)? (select one answer)
7. lower than PLN 2500 per person
8. between PLN 2500 and 5000 per person
9. more than PLN 5000 per person
10. I was raised by:
11. both parents
12. only by my mother
13. only by my father
14. other family members
15. guardians or carers
16. same-sex guardians or parents
17. What is (was) the education of your parent(s) or guardian(s)?(insert an " $x$ " for each parent or guardian bringing you up)

| No. | Education of your parents or <br> guardians | Mother | Father | Guardian <br> (female) | Guardian <br> (male) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | higher |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | secondary |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | primary |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | other (specify) |  |  |  |  |

40. Are you satisfied with life?
41. definitely yes
42. rather yes
43. rather no
44. definitely no
45. it is difficult to say
46. What is your religion? (select religion and/or denomination)
47. Christianity
a. Catholicism
b. Orthodoxy
c. Protestantism
48. Judaism
49. Buddhism
50. Hinduism
51. Islam

6 other (specify) $\qquad$
7. I am an agnostic
8. I am an atheist
42. How would you describe the degree of your religious activity?

1. I am a believer and a regular practitioner
2. I am a believer and an irregular practitioner
3. I am a believer, non-practitioner
4. I am not a believer, but a sporicidal practitioner
5. I am not a believer and I do not practice
6. 
7. What are your political affiliations?
8. left-wing
9. centre
10. right wing
11. other (specify)
```
    5. I do not favor any political wing
    6. I am indifferent towards politics
44. What is your sexual orientation?
1. I am heterosexual
2. I am homosexual
3. other (specify)
``` \(\qquad\)
```
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